"Why Pope Francis is Innocent and a Saint" by Guy Murphy 10/07/2018 (www.MEDJ1.com)

God sent Our Lady to Fatima to reveal a message about our future. "The Holy Father would have much to suffer."

There are many problems that Satan is causing outside and inside the Church.

Tricking us to blame the Vicar of Christ would be his great victory.

Regarding the scandals, I encourage people to read "Scandals and the Cross" 8/29/2018 by Guy Murphy. TRAGEDY-Response-83018.pdf

It is also good to read Pope Francis' letter about scandals to the faithful. The terrible sufferings of the innocent victims, because they are part of the Body of Christ, are our sufferings as well. As the truth and justice is revealed, the sufferings that the innocent priests have experienced and even the Catholic Lay people who receive the sneers of society, need to be in solidarity with the young victims and united to the sufferings of Jesus Christ on the Cross for the conversion of sinners.

The current accusing letter of Vigano that was released on Aug 25, 2018 is scandalizing millions of Catholics and others around the world.

Pope Francis called the journalists to "read the statement carefully and draw your own conclusions." He went on to say, "the statement (11-page letter) speaks for itself. It will do you good." Pope Francis is seeing something obvious and wants us to see it. The devil is a complicator. In all simplicity, let us do what the Vicar of Christ says and "read the statement carefully and draw your own conclusions." Some items in the report become obvious.

Vigano does not claim to tell Pope Francis that McCarrick is involved in sex abuse. Most reporters would understand protocol and know that if the Apostolic Nuncio thought it was a serious matter, he would have followed up his 30 second vague conversation about McCarrick, every six months with attempts to notify Pope Francis directly or through his secretary. He would have provided documents to support his concerns. Vigano admits that he did none of that. Instead, the only document that he gave Pope Francis during the five-year span was the 11-page letter that he first gave to the "Unfriendly Media Outlets" on Aug 25, 2018.

The reporter would conclude that Pope Francis is innocent and Vigano was guilty of not doing his job of informing Pope Francis. Either Vigano is overly disgruntled for asking that Pope Francis resign based on a 30 second vague conversation about McCarrick with Vigano five years ago, or worse, Vigano is working for the Church's enemies and he has betrayed Pope Francis by handing him over to the "Unfriendly Media Outlets."

Details about the scandal situation and history.

In June 2018, the McCarrick scandal hit the news. Cardinal Dolan said, "a report has come to the archdiocese alleging abuse from over forty-five years ago by the now retired Archbishop of Washington, Cardinal Theodore McCarrick. This was the first such report of a violation of the Charter for the Protection of Children and Young People ever made against him of which the archdiocese was aware."

https://archny.org/cardinalmccarrick

In July 2018, Pope Francis had accepted the resignation of Cardinal Theodore McCarrick from the College of Cardinals.

Since McCarrick is a Cardinal, people started asking, "Who else in the hierarchy covered up the scandal?"

We believe that Jesus Christ, our God who we love and serve, gave the authority to Peter and his successors in Matthew 16 (CCC #891, #892). We believe the Holy Spirit chose Pope

Francis for this office through the official College of Cardinals.

August 25, 2018, Vigano issued an 11-page letter. In it, for the first time in 2000 years, a Vatican employee calls for the Vicar of Jesus Christ to resign.

The Lifesite News agency, who, for several years, has been very unfriendly towards Pope Francis, headlined: "Pope tells media he's "not going to say a word" about McCarrick cover-up letter."

The Pope's actual statement given on the way home from Dublin, Ireland to Rome on Aug 26, is quite different. **Pope**Francis said, "I will respond to your question, but I would prefer that first we speak about the trip, and then other topics. I was distracted by Stefania, but I will respond."

"I read the (Vigano) statement this morning, and I must tell you sincerely that, I must say this, to you and all those who are interested. Read the statement (11-page report) carefully and make your own judgment. I will not say a single word about this. I believe the statement speaks for itself. And you have the journalistic capacity to draw your own conclusions. It's an act of faith. When some time passes, and you have drawn your conclusions, I may speak. But, I would like your professional maturity to do the work for you. It will be good for you.

The first question a reporter would ask is, "Who is the person responsible for notifying Pope Francis of unacceptable

notifying Pope Francis of unacceptable behavior from a Cardinal?"

The answer is: 1. The Head of the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops (USCCB) Joseph E. Kurtz, Archbishop of Louisville (2013–2016) or Daniel Cardinal DiNardo of Galveston-Houston (2016–Present)

or 2. The Apostolic Nuncio of that region Carlo Maria Viganò 19 October 2011 – 12 April 2016 (The one who wrote the 11-page letter) or

Christophe Pierre 12 April 2016 – present enter Church without a veil could be

or 3. Any concerned Catholic.

The second question a reporter would ask. If one of those men knew about McCarrick's behavior, what did they do to inform Pope Francis? The next step is to listen to the Vicar of Christ and read the statement by Vigano because, "the statement will speak for itself and you will draw your own conclusions."

(This is part of Vigano's actual 11-page statement that includes EVERYTHING he claimed to convey to Pope Francis about McCarrick.)

"On Sunday June 23, 2013 (three months after Pope Francis took office) I began the conversation, asking the Pope what he intended to say to me with the words he had addressed to me when I greeted him the previous Friday. And the Pope, in a very different, friendly, almost affectionate tone, said to me: 'Yes, the Bishops in the United States must not be ideologized, they must not be right-wing like the Archbishop of Philadelphia, they must be shepherds; and they must not be left-wing — and he added, raising both arms — and when I say left-wing I mean homosexual.'

'Holy Father, I don't know if you know Cardinal McCarrick, but if you ask the Congregation for Bishops there is a dossier this thick about him. He corrupted generations of seminarians and priests and Pope Benedict ordered him to withdraw to a life of prayer and penance.' The Pope did not make the slightest comment about those very grave words of mine and did not show any expression of surprise on his face..."

The reporter would be aware that the verbal comment of "He corrupted generations of seminarians and priests" is a vague comment because Vigano admits he doesn't mention the words "sexual abuse" to the Pope. To an ultra-right wing, corrupted could be anything that changes from the past traditions. Even allowing Altar Girls to serve during Mass or allowing women to

enter Church without a veil could be considered corruption to some people.

The reporter would be aware of the Holy Father's hectic schedule that would make Superman tremble.

pope francis busy schedule.pdf

The Pope gets hundreds of letters a day from the 1.2 Billion Catholics. He has over 5000 bishops who are always asking and telling him things. Pope Francis meets with numerous Presidents and dignitaries.

Pope Francis also prepares for audiences and writes many Apostolic Letters. In two weeks, he would be travelling to the tiny Italian island of Lampedusa, where he prayed for illegal migrants who drowned trying to reach Europe.

Two weeks after that he would travel from July 22-29, 2013, to Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, for World Youth Day. Pope Francis gathered up to 3.5 million pilgrims to celebrate mass at Copacabana Beach.

IN CONCLUSION:

The reporter would understand that the man who should have formally informed Pope Francis is Vigano. In his own report Vigano's admits that he knew about McCarrick's behavior, therefore he was obliged to inform the Pope. Vigano also admits that he only discussed vague comments verbally with Pope Francis, for about 30 seconds, and did not follow up with providing Pope Francis any documentation.

The reporter would understand that if the Apostolic Nuncio thought the matter was serious, he would have followed up every six months with attempts to notify Pope Francis directly or through his secretary, complete with documents.

Vigano admits that he did none of that. The only document that Vigano gave the Pope during the five years was the 11-page letter that he first gave to the "Unfriendly Media Outlets" on Aug 25,

The reporter would conclude that Pope Francis is innocent and Vigano is guilty

of not doing his job of informing Pope Francis. Either Vigano is overly disgruntled for asking that the Pope resign based on Vigano's 30 second vague conversation about McCarrick five years ago, or worse, Vigano is working for the Church's enemies and betrayed the Pope by handing him over to the "Unfriendly Media Outlets."

Benedict's secretary Mnsgr. George Gaenswein told Italian media outlet ANSA on Aug 30 that the ex-pope has **not confirmed** the content of Vigano's letter, calling a report Benedict had backed Vigano "fake news, a lie." https://www.associationofcatholicpriests.ie/2018/08/a-very-catholic-coup/

One more thing. Pope Emeritus

Propaganda is to repeat negative "Fake News" over and over again until people assume it is the truth. Don't expect/rely on the negative Pharisee Fake News to report the obvious truth. If you're not sure, read Vigano's report for yourself.

Please pass the edifying truth on and pray for people who are trying to cause scandal.

Our Lady is counting on you!

God's message through Our Lady is; "The Holy Father would have much to suffer." (Fatima 1917)

Updates: In a TV interview, former head of the Congregation Divine Faith Cardinal Mueller said he had "No knowledge" of McCarrick suppression. Upon review, this was confirmed by Cardinal Ouellet who said there are "No Documents" of a McCarrick suppression, therefore Vigano is not telling the truth and has political motives.

https://zenit.org/articles/cardinalouellet-responds-to-recentaccusations-against-holy-see/